

Parabolic Lie Algebras are Zero Product Determined

Daniel Brice with Huajun Huang

Department of Mathematics
Tuskegee University, Alabama
dbrice@tuskegee.edu

27 March 2015

Definitions

Parabolic Lie
Algebras are
Zero
Product
Determined

Daniel Brice
with Huajun
Huang

Definitions

Literature
Review

Our Main
Results

Let $(A, *)$ be an algebra over alg-closed, char-0 K .

Definition (preserve zero products)

A bilinear map $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow X$ is said to preserve zero products if

$$\varphi(x, y) = 0 \text{ whenever } x * y = 0.$$

Definition (zero product determined)

A is said to be zero product determined if to each bilinear map $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow X$ that preserves zero products there is a linear map $f : A^2 \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\varphi(x, y) = f(x * y).$$

Literature Review

Parabolic Lie
Algebras are
Zero
Product
Determined

Daniel Brice
with Huajun
Huang

Definitions

Literature
Review

Our Main
Results

- 1 Matej Brešar, Mateja Grašič, and Juana Sánchez Ortega. “Zero product determined matrix algebras”. In: *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* 430.5 (2009), pp. 1486–1498
- 2 Mateja Grašič. “Zero product determined classical Lie algebras”. In: *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 58.8 (2010), pp. 1007–1022
- 3 Dengyin Wang, Xiaoxiang Yu, and Zhengxin Chen. “A class of zero product determined Lie algebras”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 331.1 (2011), pp. 145–151

Our Program

Parabolic Lie
Algebras are
Zero
Product
Determined

Daniel Brice
with Huajun
Huang

Definitions

Literature
Review

Our Main
Results

Theorem (Wang, Yu, and Chen 2011)

Let \mathfrak{q} be a parabolic subalgebra of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over K . \mathfrak{q} is zero product determined.

Can we extend this result:

- to semisimple \mathfrak{g} ?
- to reductive \mathfrak{g} ?
- to other constructions on \mathfrak{q} ?

Our Main Results

Parabolic Lie
Algebras are
Zero
Product
Determined

Daniel Brice
with Huajun
Huang

Definitions

Literature
Review

Our Main
Results

Proposition

Let \mathfrak{q} be a parabolic subalgebra of a reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over K .

- 1 \mathfrak{q} is zero product determined.
- 2 $\text{Der } \mathfrak{q}$ is zero product determined.

Proposition

Let $L(n, k)$ be the Lie algebra of consisting of all $(m + n) \times (m + n)$ matrices of the form

$$\begin{matrix} & m & n \\ m & \left(\begin{array}{cc} * & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ n & & \end{matrix}$$

with entries in K . $L(n, k)$ is zero product determined.

Previous Work

Parabolic Lie
Algebras are
Zero
Product
Determined

Daniel Brice
with Huajun
Huang

Definitions

Literature
Review

Our Main
Results

Theorem (B and Huang 2015)

*Let $(A, *)$ be an algebra.*

- 1 *A is ZPD iff $\text{Ker } *$ is generated by rank-one tensors in $A \otimes A$.*
- 2 *Abelian Lie algebras are ZPD.*
- 3 *A direct sum is ZPD iff each summand is ZPD.*

Theorem (B and Huang manuscript pending submission)

Let \mathfrak{q} be a parabolic subalgebra of a reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over K or \mathbb{R}

- 1 *\mathfrak{q} decomposes as $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}_Z \dot{+} \mathfrak{c} \dot{+} [\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}]$*
- 2 *$\mathfrak{L} = \{\text{deriv. } D \mid D(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_Z, D([\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}]) = 0\}$ is an ideal of $\text{Der } \mathfrak{q}$.*
- 3 *$\text{Der } \mathfrak{q} \cong \mathfrak{L} \oplus \text{ad } \mathfrak{q}$.*

Proof of Main Results

Parabolic Lie
Algebras are
Zero
Product
Determined

Daniel Brice
with Huajun
Huang

Definitions

Literature
Review

Our Main
Results

- \mathfrak{q} is ZPD

Write $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}_Z \oplus \mathfrak{q}_S$.

\mathfrak{q}_S is ZPD by Wang, Yu, and Chen 2011 and direct sums.

\mathfrak{g}_Z is ZPD since it is abelian.

\mathfrak{q} is ZPD by direct sums.

- $\text{Der } \mathfrak{q}$ is ZPD

$\text{Der } \mathfrak{q} \cong \mathfrak{L} \oplus \text{ad } \mathfrak{q}$.

$\text{ad } \mathfrak{q}$ is ZPD by Wang, Yu, and Chen 2011 and direct sums.

$\mathfrak{L} \cong L(n, k)$, ZPD by the next proposition.

$\text{Der } \mathfrak{q}$ is ZPD by direct sums.

Proof of Main Results

- $L(n, k)$ is ZPD

- Dimension-counting shows

$$\dim \text{Ker } * = n^4 + 2n^3k + n^2 + n^2k^2 - n^2 - nk + 1$$

- $n^4 - n^2 + 1$ from upper-right block, since it is ZPD
 - n^2k^2 from upper-left block, since it is abelian
 - $2n^3k - 2n^2k$ of the form

$$e_{i,j} \otimes e_{l,n+q}, \quad e_{l,n+q} \otimes e_{i,j} \quad : \quad i, j, k \leq n, \quad q \leq k, \quad j \neq l$$

- $2n^2k - 2nk$ of the form

$$(e_{i,j} - e_{i,j+1}) \otimes (e_{j,n+q} + e_{j+1,n+q}),$$

$$(e_{j,n+q} + e_{j+1,n+q}) \otimes (e_{i,j} - e_{i,j+1}) \quad : \quad i \leq n, \quad j \leq n-1, \quad q \leq k$$

- nk of the form

$$(e_{i,i} + e_{i,n+q}) \otimes (e_{i,i} + e_{i,n+q}) \quad : \quad i \leq n, \quad q \leq k$$